


Wakefield Accelerator

Process: Laser radiation pressure displaces electrons
Space charge causes oscillating density 'wake' moving with the laser
Wake electric fields of \sim GV/cm accelerate particles



Analogy: boat displacing water





Particle injection

Motivation: Increase Particle Energies


- Increasing particle energies probe smaller and smaller scales of matter
 - **1910:** Rutherford: scattering of MeV scale alpha particles revealed structure of **atom**
 - **1950ies:** scattering of GeV scale electron revealed finite size of **proton and neutron**
 - **Early 1970ies:** scattering of tens of GeV electrons revealed internal structure of proton/neutron, ie quarks.
- Increasing energies makes particles of larger and larger mass accessible
 - GeV type masses in 1950ies, 60ies (Antiproton, Omega, hadron resonances...)
 - Up to 10 GeV in 1970ies (J/Psi, Ypsilon...)
 - Up to ~100 GeV since 1980ies (W, Z, top, Higgs...)
- Discoveries went hand in hand with theoretical understanding of underlying laws of nature
 - **Standard Model** of particle physics
- Increasing particle energies probe earlier times in the evolution of the universe.
 - Temperatures at early universe were at levels of energies that are achieved by particle accelerators today
 - Understand the origin of the universe

→ Large list of unsolved questions!

→ Need particle accelerators with new energy frontier




Big questions in particle physics



The Standard Model is amazingly successful, but there are unanswered questions:

- ❖ What are the consequences of the “Higgs” particle discovery?
- ❖ Why is there so much matter (vs. anti-matter) ?
- ❖ Why is there so little matter (5%) in the Universe?
- ❖ Can we unify the forces?

Large Hadron Collider, LHC, 27 km circumference, 7 TeV



Circular Collider


FCC, Future Circular Collider

80 – 100 km diameter

100 TeV (pp)

>350 GeV (e^+e^-)

20 T dipoles




Linear Colliders

CLIC

48 km length
3 TeV (e^+e^-)


Accelerating elements:
Cavities: 100 MV/m



Conventional Accelerating Technology


Today's RF cavities or microwave technology:

- Very successfully used in all accelerators (hospitals, scientific labs,...) in the last 100 years.
- Typical gradients:
 - LHC: 5 MV/m
 - ILC: 35 MV/m
 - CLIC: 100 MV/m




However:

- accelerating fields are limited to <100 MV/m
 - In metallic structures, a too high field level leads to break down of surfaces, creating discharge.
 - Fields cannot be sustained, structures might be damaged.
- several tens of kilometers for future linear colliders



Saturation at Energy Frontier for Accelerators



→ Project size and cost increase with energy

Motivation

New directions in science are launched by *new tools* much more often than by *new concepts*.

The effect of a *concept-driven* revolution is to explain old things in new ways.

The effect of a *tool-driven* revolution is to discover new things that have to be explained.

from Freeman Dyson 'Imagined Worlds'




RF Cavity



1 m \Rightarrow 50 MeV Gain

Electric field < 100 MV/m

Plasma Cavity



1 mm \Rightarrow 100 MeV


Electric field > 100 GV/m

Compactness of plasma 'cavity'. Left: Radiofrequency cavity. Right: Non-linear laser plasma wakefield. The laser pulse in yellow propagates from left to right, the iso-electronic density is shown in blue and the electron bunch in red.


Linear Colliders



Plasma based Linear Collider




Let's look at the driver first: a relativistically intense laser pulse



- Peak power **1 PW** or **1,359,621,617,300 PS** (if you are into cars) compare to total nuclear power output in the world **0.000 374 PW**
- Energy ~ 10 J in ~ 10 fs = **0.000 000 000 000 01 s** duration focused to ~ 50 μm spots (size of thin hair)
- $\sim 4 \cdot 10^{19}$ photons
- Intensity $\sim 10^{19}$ W/cm^2 electrons become relativistic
- Electric fields of **8.6 TV/m**, magnetic fields of **~ 28954 Tesla**

Burning question: can these fields be used for particle acceleration?


But interacting the laser with matter works!



- Intensity $\sim 10^{19} \text{ W/cm}^2$ → light pressure on matter $\sim 300 \text{ Tbar}$, electron temperature $\sim \text{MeV}$ or $\sim G^\circ\text{C}$!

Laser excitation of strong plasma waves


- Intense laser-pulse from left to right
- Pushes away electrons by its light pressure or ponderomotive force (ions are too heavy, hardly move)
- Creates electron-depleted cavity and sets up charge separation
- Strong electrostatic fields pull back electrons on axis
- Electrons oscillate and create copropagating wakefield



Principle of Plasma Wakefield Acceleration


- Laser drive beam
 - Ponderomotive force
- Charged particle drive beam
 - Transverse space charge field
 - Reverses sign for negatively (blow-out) or positively (suck-in) charged beam

use **transverse field** to create a **longitudinal field** for acceleration



- Plasma wave/wake excited by relativistic particle bunch
- Plasma e⁻ are expelled by space charge force
- Plasma e⁻ rush back on axis
- Acceleration physics identical for LWFA, PWFA


Plasma wakefield acceleration in a nutshell




PWFA vs. LWFA

- average power of up to MW
(vs. ~100 W)
 - wall-plug efficiency of ~10%
(vs. < 0.1%)
- beam-driven wakes (currently) the only viable solution for high-average-power applications


Plasma wakefield acceleration in a nutshell




Plasma wakefield acceleration in a nutshell




Plasma wakefield acceleration in a nutshell




Plasma wakefield acceleration in



Driver acts as electron "snow plow",
static protons pull back electrons




Plasma wakefield acceleration in a nutshell



Particle-beam driven
"Plasma wakefield acceleration"
PWFA



Driver acts as electron "snow plow",
static protons pull back electrons



Plasma wakefield acceleration in a nutshell

Interesting for applications
 ~GeV energy < μm emittance
 ~fs duration ~kA current

Laser-pulse driven
 "Laser wakefield acceleration"
LWFA



Witness

Driver



Particle-beam driven
 "Plasma wakefield acceleration"
PWFA

Bunch duration: fs

- O. Lundh *et al.*, Nature Physics 7, 219 (2011)
- A. Buck *et al.*, Nature Physics 7, 643 (2011)

Size of structure

$$\lambda_p \approx \frac{2\pi c}{\omega_p} \approx (33 \text{ km}) \sqrt{n_e^{-1} [\text{cm}^{-3}]}$$

typically $\lambda_p \approx 33 \mu\text{m}$ (for $n_e \approx 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$)


GeV energy gain over cm

- W.P. Leemans *et al.*, Nature Physics 2, 696 (2006)

Electric field strength

$$E \approx \frac{mc\omega_p}{e} \approx (96 \text{ V/m}) \sqrt{n_e [\text{cm}^{-3}]}$$

typically $E \approx 100 \text{ GV/m}$ (for $n_e \approx 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$)



Plasma considerations

Based on linear fluid dynamics:

$$\omega_p = \sqrt{\frac{n_p \cdot e^2}{\epsilon_0 \cdot m_e}}$$

$$\lambda_p \approx 1 [mm] \cdot \sqrt{\frac{10^{15} [cm^{-3}]}{n_p}} \quad \text{or} \quad \approx \sqrt{2} \cdot \pi \cdot \sigma_z$$

$$E \approx 2 [GV m^{-1}] \cdot \left(\frac{N}{10^{10}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{100 [\mu m]}{\sigma_z}\right)^2$$

Relevant physical quantities:

- Oscillation frequency ω_p
- Plasma wavelength λ_p
- Accelerating gradient E

where


- n_p is the plasma density
- e is the electron charge
- ϵ_0 is the permittivity of free space
- m_e is the mass of electron
- N is the number of drive-beam particles
- σ_z is the drive-beam length

High gradients with:


- Short drive beams (and short plasma wavelength)
- Pulses with large number of particles (and high plasma density)

Plasma wakefield experiments

- ❖ Pioneering work using a LASER to induce wakefield up to 100 GV/m.
- ❖ Experiments at SLAC have used a particle (electron) beam:
 - Initial energy $E_e = 42 \text{ GeV}$
 - Gradients up to $\sim 52 \text{ GV/m}$
 - Energy doubled over $\sim 1 \text{ m}$
 - Next stage FACET project
<http://facet.slac.stanford.edu>
- ❖ High proton beams of much higher energy:
 - HERA (DESY): 1 TeV
 - Tevatron (FNAL): 1 TeV
 - CERN: $24/450 \text{ GeV}$ and $3.5 (7) \text{ TeV}$




Rubidium plasma source



- ❖ Synthetic oil surrounding Rb for temperature stability and hence density uniformity
- ❖ Vacuum tube surrounding oil suppressing heat loss
- ❖ Rubidium vapor sources available commercially; development of fast valves started in collaboration with industry
- ❖ Need $1 - 2 \text{ TW}$ laser with $30 - 100 \text{ fs}$ pulse


Experimental setup



1. Merging of SPS proton beam & ionizing/seeding laser pulse
2. Schematic relative timing
3. SMI developing, electron bunch parallel to proton bunch
4. Acceleration sections
5. Laser pulse dumped & diagnosed
6. Electro-optical sampling diagnostic
7. Transition radiation diagnostics
8. RF electron gun
9. e/p bunch merging section
10. Electron spectrometer system

Many, Many Electron and Laser Driven Plasma Wakefield Experiments...!

Now first Proton Driven Plasma Wakefield Experiment



Monoenergetic beams of relativistic electrons from intense laser-plasma interactions

S. P. S. Magill¹, C. S. Murphy^{1,2}, I. McManus¹, A. S. S. Thomson¹, J. L. Collier¹, A. E. Bunge¹, E. J. Esler¹, P. S. Foster¹, J. G. Schreiber¹, E. J. Walker¹, S. A. Armstrong¹, A. J. Langley¹, W. B. Hill¹, P. A. Norbury¹, T. S. Young¹, K. Wilson¹, S. W. Walker¹ & K. V. Brummel¹

¹The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London, London SW7 2BZ, UK; ²Central Laser Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Oxon, OX40 0EP, UK

¹Department of Physics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G4 0NL, UK; ²Department of Physics and Astronomy, UCLA, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA

High-quality electron beams from a laser wakefield accelerator using plasma-channel guiding

C. E. S. Adolph¹, G. S. Li^{1,2}, J. van Tilburg¹, E. Esler¹, C. S. Adolph¹, S. Adolph¹, S. K. Saha¹, J. A. Cary¹ & W. P. Leemans¹

¹Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, California 94720, USA; ²University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

¹Physikalisches Institut, Universität Würzburg, Postfach 18, 97082 Würzburg, Germany; ²Johns Hopkins University, 3529 Alameda Ave, Suite A, Boulder, Colorado 80508, USA; ³University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80509, USA

A laser-plasma accelerator producing monoenergetic electron beams

J. Faure¹, J. Esler¹, A. Pukhov¹, S. Kruel¹, S. Hoeschele¹, S. Lifshits¹, J.-P. Rousseau¹, F. Sauerbrey¹ & V. Malka¹

¹Laboratoire d'Optique Appliquée, Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS, Châssy, 91127 Palaiseau Cedex, France; ²Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Institut für Technische Physik, L. Strassbecker-Universität Würzburg, 97082 Würzburg, Germany; ³Department of Physics, University of Applied Sciences, CEASTM, Boulevard de la Recherche, 91120 Palaiseau, France



Surfing wakefields to create smaller accelerators




Building Accelerators Based on PWA

Lasers: ~ 40 J/pulse

Electron drive beam: 30 J/bunch

Proton drive beam: SPS 19kJ/pulse, LHC 300kJ/bunch

- To reach TeV scale with electron/laser driven PWA: need several stages, and challenging wrt to relative timing, tolerances, matching, etc...
 - effective gradient reduced because of long sections between accelerating elements....



- **Proton drivers:** large energy content in proton bunches \rightarrow interesting for plasma wakefield accelerators \rightarrow to reach high energies of a witness beam possible in few stages.
- But: need short bunches \rightarrow self-modulation instability





19


Lasers do not have enough energy:

- Can not propagate long distances in plasma
- Can not accelerate electrons to high energy
- For high energy, need multiple stages


Plasma wakefield accelerator



Long beam : self-modulation




- Microbunches are spaced at the plasma wavelength and act constructively to generate a strong plasma wake.
- Seeding the modulation is critical. Use laser pulse (or short electron beam).



Self-modulated driver beam


Thanks to J. Holloway (UCL)

Self-modulation of the proton beam




CERN SPS proton beam


| | |
|--|-------------------|
| Proton bunch population N_b | $3 \cdot 10^{11}$ |
| Proton bunch length σ_z | 12 cm |
| Proton bunch radius σ_r | 0.02 cm |
| Proton energy W_b | 400 GeV |
| Proton bunch relative energy spread $\delta W_b/W_b$ | 0.35% |
| Proton bunch normalized emittance ϵ_{bn} | 3.5 mm mrad |




First experiment: Seeded Self-Modulation



Seeded Self-Modulation Instability of a Long Proton Bunch in Plasma



What we want to see in the diagnostics:



CERN NEUTRINOS TO GRAN SASSO

Underground structures at CERN

